

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 2A has been amended to replace reference numeral "170" with reference numeral "130". A replacement sheet is included with this response. A marked up sheet showing the amendment is also included.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested. Claims 1-27 have been canceled. Claims 28-54 have been added. Claims 28-54 are currently pending in the application.

OATH/DECLARATION

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Declaration as being defective. Specifically, the Examiner noted that the Declaration recited "material to the examination of this application" rather than "material to the patentability of this application". While it is true that the Declaration used the term "examination" instead of "patentability", Applicants submit that, taken in the context of the entire Declaration, the use of this language did not render the Declaration defective; hence, Applicants respectfully request that this deficiency be waived.

Applicants would like to point out that the Declaration specifically recited "we acknowledge our duty to disclose information in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Section 1.56 and defined on the attached sheet". Thus, the Declaration clearly referenced Rule 56 and acknowledged the duty to disclose information under Rule 56. In addition, a copy of Rule 56 was attached to, and made a part of, the Declaration. In the attached copy of Rule 56, the appropriate language of "material to patentability" was recited. Thus, given the above reference to Rule 56, the acknowledgement of the duty to disclose information under Rule 56, and the fact that a copy of Rule 56 (with the proper "material to patentability" language) was attached to and made a part of the Declaration, it is clear that the Applicants, at the time of executing the Declaration, understood and acknowledged their duty to disclose

information material to the patentability of the application, as required by Rule 56. It is this acknowledgement that is required by 37 C.F.R. Section 1.63. It is unfortunate that the term "examination" appears in the Declaration instead of "patentability"; however, given the other factors noted above, Applicants submit that the use of this term does not render the Declaration defective. In fact, the entire phrase "which is material to the examination of this application" could be removed from the Declaration, and the Declaration would not be rendered defective.

Overall, Applicants respectfully submit that the Declaration, as it stands, satisfies the spirit of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.63. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the deficiency noted by the Examiner be waived, and that the Declaration be accepted.

DRAWINGS

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings. Specifically, the Examiner noted that the Specification made reference to reference numeral "130" in Fig. 2A but there is no such numeral in the figure. The Examiner also noted that reference numeral "170" is shown in Fig. 2A but never referenced in the Specification. In response to this objection, Applicants have amended Fig. 2A to replace reference numeral "170" with reference numeral "130". Applicants submit that Fig. 2A is now in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.84(p)(5).

The Examiner also noted that several reference numerals were present in the drawings but were never referenced in the Specification. The Specification has been amended to specifically refer to these reference numerals. Thus, all of the reference numerals shown in the drawings are now referenced in the Specification.

Applicants submit that all of the drawing issues raised by the Examiner have been addressed. Accordingly, Applicants request that the drawing objection be withdrawn.

REJECTIONS/OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS

In the Office Action, claims 1-27 were objected to and rejected based upon various grounds. Claims 1-27 have been canceled, thereby rendering these objections and rejections moot. Thus, Applicants request that these objections and rejections be withdrawn.

NEW CLAIMS

New claims 28-54 have been added to claim the invention with the breadth and scope to which Applicants believe they are entitled. Applicants submit that the new claims are patentable over the art of record. Specifically, it is noted all of the new independent claims (28, 40, and 52) specify that a first non-global zone and a second non-global zone are established within a global operating system environment, and that these non-global zones are established and exist without requiring any user processes to be running within them. In addition, the independent claims specify that each non-global has a zone identifier associated therewith, and that each user process executing within a non-global zone has the zone identifier of that non-global zone associated therewith. The art of record fails to disclose or suggest at least these aspects of the independent claims. Accordingly, Applicants submit that all of the new claims are patentable over the art of record.

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance; hence, allowance of all of the pending claims is hereby respectfully solicited.


To the extent necessary to make this reply timely filed, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136.

If any applicable fee is missing or insufficient, throughout the pendency of this application, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to any applicable fees and to credit any overpayments to our Deposit Account No. 50-1302.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Date: August 29, 2007



Bobby K. Truong
Reg. No. 37,499

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550
San Jose, California 95110-1089
Telephone: (408) 414-1234
Facsimile: (408) 414-1076


Drawing Attachments

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

On August 29, 2007

By



Annette Jacobs




Fig. 2A